Metal Surface Modification with Vibration-Aided Micro-Forging

Yang Bai*1, Kenji Nishikawa*2 and Ming Yang

Graduate School of System Design, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo 191-0065, Japan

A vibration-aided micro-forging was performed on various metallic foils under different conditions to obtain a reliable surface that has low surface roughness values. When micro-forged it with vibration under proper experimental conditions, the surface roughness value was found to be decreased by more than 70%. However, no so significant improvement on the surface roughness can be obtained without vibration. Based on this experimental study, the surface asperiites variation was approximately proportional to the forming energy W, which increases the ability of dislocations to overcome the forming resistance in micro-forging. [doi:10.2320/matertrans.M2011254]

(Received August 18, 2011; Accepted December 6, 2011; Published January 25, 2012)

Keywords: surface modification, vibration, micro-forging

1. Introduction

The trend towards further miniaturization, particularly in the field of electronics production, is now booming. Electric productions are becoming smaller and with more functions.¹⁾ This is mainly due to the development of micro-electromechanical systems (MEMS) technology. Compared with traditional processes, the newly developed MEMS technology has more advantages.^{2,3)} Microforming is suitable for manufacturing small metallic parts in big scale productions, due to its high productivity and economic values.^{4,5)} As the dimensions of MEMS devices become smaller, the surface asperities of metallic foils may affect the product dimension accuracy and properties. To maintain a high performance of the MEMS devices, it is necessary to modify the surface of metallic foils to improve the surface asperities before microforming processes.

Researchers have made much effort to manufacture highly precise devices with low surface roughness.^{6–9)} Among the processes used for reducing surface roughness, micro-forging is suitable for thin metallic foils for its low forming load and excellent performance.

In this study, micro-forgings with and without vibration of phosphor bronze C5191 foils and titanium foils were performed to improve the surface asperities. This study aims to address the relationship between vibration parameters and metal surface characteristics. Particular emphasis was given to vibration time, static force and vibration amplitude. As comparison, titanium foils were used to elucidate the effect of material type on vibration-aided micro-forging results. The results showed that the surface roughness can be reduced by more than 70%. This indicates that vibration-aided micro-forging is an effective process to improve the surface asperities.

2. Experimental Conditions and Setup

In this study, phosphor bronze C5191 foils and titanium foils were used, all with initial thickness of $100\,\mu m$. Square

pieces with dimensions of $5 \text{ mm} \times 5 \text{ mm}$ were cut from the sheet for this experiment.

An experimental facility was developed in this study for vibration-aided micro-forging, which can apply both static force and vibration as shown in Fig. 1(a). The facility set is composed of an oscilloscope, an amplifier, a PZT driver, a wave generator and a 3-axis stage controller. Figure 1(b) depicts a detailed geometry of the facility. Different static forces were obtained by lifting and lowering the 3-axis stage. Vibration was provided by a punch connecting to PZT, which can generate vertical sine vibration with frequency of about 1.4 kHz. The vibration time was set to between 1 to 3 s. The punch vibrated at different PZT voltages from 30 to 150 V to get different amplitudes. The corresponding relationship between vibration amplitude and PZT voltage is presented in Table 1. The material of the punch is tungsten-carbide (WC), with diameter of 1.0 mm. The roughness of the punch is 6 nm. The samples were fixed on the 3-axis stage during the experiment.

In order to assess the effect of different parameters on vibration-aided micro-forging, different vibration time, static forces and PZT voltages were used as summarized in Table 2 and five micro-forgings were made for each case. The vibration amplitude was measured using LASER DISPLACEMENT METER (LC-2400). To detect the surface roughness difference between before and after vibrationaided micro-forging, NANOSCALE HYBRID MICRO-SCOPE (KEYENCE VN-8010) was used to measure the foils R_a values. The hardness of the metal foils surface was analyzed with SCANNING PROBE MICROSCOPE (SPM-9500J2).

3. Results

3.1 Effect of static force on vibration-aided microforging

Figure 2 shows how the surface topographies of foils vary with static force. In Fig. 2(a), which shows the surface topography of phosphor bronzer foils before micro-forging, initial asperities are visible. In Figs. 2(b)-2(d), the top of the asperities is found to be flatten after vibration-aided micro-forging, and the surface gets smoother with larger static forces. When static force gets to 150 N, the surface becomes very flat with only few slight scratches remained.

^{*1}Graduate Student, Tokyo Metropolitan University

^{*2}Graduate Student, Tokyo Metropolitan University. Present address: Yokohama Research Laboratory, Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachinaka 312-0034, Japan



Fig. 1 (a) Experimental setup (b) configuration of the vibration-aided micro-forging device.

Table 1 Relationship between vibration amplitude and PZT voltage.

Table 2 Experimental cases.

PZT	30 V	90 V	150 V	Material	Vibration time	PZT voltage	Static force
voltage				Phosphor bronze foils	1 s	30 V	50 N
Vibration amplitude	0.39 µm	2.95 µm	5.43 µm		2 s	90 V	100 N
					3 s	150 V	150 N
				Titanium	2 s	150 V	150 N



Fig. 2 Surface topographies of phosphor bronze foils at different static forces with same vibration time (2 s) and PZT voltage (150 V) (a) before; (b) 50 N; (c) 100 N; (d) 150 N.

The relationships between static force and maximum forming force, surface roughness and hardness are presented in Fig. 3. Here, zero static force means no micro-forging was applied to the samples, and in the following analysis, zero vibration time and PZT voltage have the same meaning. As shown here, the maximum forming force rises from 108 N at 50 N to 248 N at 150 N, which means that the foils were stamped at higher pressure. The surface roughness reduces vastly from 65 nm at 50 N to 24 nm at 150 N with a reduction of 63%. Compared to the samples before vibration-aided micro-forging, a pronounced drop in surface roughness can

be obtained even with a small static force of 50 N. On the other hand, the hardness rises from 3.5 to 4.95 GPa and an increasing trend of work hardening can be observed with increasing static force. Based on the results, the static force was found to have significant effects on maximum forming force, surface roughness and hardness.

To confirm the effects of vibration on micro-forging, a micro-forging of phosphor bronzer foils without vibration was performed. The static force was set to 108 N, 189 N, 248 N which are the maximum forming forces with vibration at 50 N, 100 N, 150 N respectively. Figures 4(b)-4(d) are



Fig. 3 Effect of static force on (a) maximum forming force; (b) surface roughness; (c) hardness.

surface topographies of phosphor bronze foils after microforging without vibration at different static forces. The surface gets smoother with higher static force, but not very distinct.

Figure 5 is the measurement results of surface characteristic with and without vibration. In Fig. 5(a), compared to the cases before micro-forging, all the samples after microforging both with and without vibration are shown to have better surface asperities, and the tendency that lower surface roughness was obtained with increasing maximum forming force can be observed in both cases. It is also found that surface roughness of samples without vibration does not decrease so notably as it does with vibration. Figure 5(b) shows the difference of hardness between with and without vibration. For both cases, hardness is increasing with higher maximum forming force. Similarly to surface roughness, the samples hardness that without vibration increases slightly while it rises more significantly with vibration.

3.2 Effect of vibration time on vibration-aided microforging

The surface characteristics at different vibration time are presented in Fig. 6. The PZT voltage and static force were set to 150 V and 100 N respectively, and vibration time was selected from 1 to 3 s.

The surface roughness measurements in Fig. 6(a) show that the maximum forming forces are slightly higher with longer vibration time while the surface roughness is shown to be a little smaller as shown in Fig. 6(b). On the other hand, there seems no distinct regularity between time and hardness. Based on the measurement results, the vibration time is shown to have very limited effects on the surface characteristics.



Fig. 4 Surface topographies of phosphor bronze foils at different maximum forming forces and same vibration time (2 s) without vibration (a) before; (b) 108 N; (c) 189 N; (d) 248 N.



Fig. 5 Comparison of micro-forging with and without vibration on (a) surface roughness; (b) hardness.



Fig. 6 Effect of vibration time on (a) maximum forming force; (b) surface roughness; (c) hardness.

3.3 Effect of PZT voltage (vibration amplitude) on vibration-aided micro-forging

The effect of PZT voltage on maximum forming force, surface roughness values and hardness is presented in Fig. 7. In Fig. 7(a), the maximum forming force increases with larger PZT voltage. As is shown in Fig. 7(b), a significant decrease in surface roughness can be derived even with a small vibration amplitude when applied PZT voltage of 30 V, and lower surface roughness can be obtained when higher PZT voltage is used. The surface roughness decreases from 97 nm before micro-forging to 42 nm at PZT voltage of



Fig. 7 Effect of PZT voltage on (a) maximum forming force; (b) surface roughness; (c) hardness.

150 V, with a decrease of 56.7%. In Fig. 7(c), the hardness is rising when higher PZT voltage is applied, and the hardness value increases by 37% compared with the samples before micro-forging.

3.4 Effect of material type on vibration-aided microforging

Figure 8 is surface topographies of different foils before and after vibration-aided micro-forging under the same experimental conditions (2 s, 150 N, 150 V). In Figs. 8(a) and 8(b) which are the surface topographies of phosphor



Fig. 8 Surface topographies of different foils with vibration-aided micro-forging (a) phosphor bronze foils before; (b) phosphor bronze foils after; (c) titanium foils before; (d) titanium foils after.



Fig. 9 Comparison of (a) surface roughness; (b) hardness with different foils before and after vibration-aided micro-forging at (2 s, 150 V, 150 N).

bronzer foils before and after vibration-aided micro-forging, the surface roughness is found to decrease drastically while it is not so notably with titanium foils as shown in Figs. 8(c) and 8(d).

Figures 9(a) and 9(b) show the measurement results of surface roughness and hardness with different foils. In Fig. 9(a), the surface roughness value of phosphor bronzer foils reduces by 75%, but the titanium foils surface roughness value decreases merely 6%. In Fig. 9(b), both phosphor bronzer and titanium foils hardness is found to increase after vibration-aided micro-forging. In addition, titanium foils hardness increases slightly less than phosphor bronzer foils. Based on the result, the vibration-aided micro-forging is shown to have better effect on phosphor bronzer foils.

4. Discussion

Based on the analysis above, the vibration time seems to have little effect on surface roughness and hardness. On the contrary, static force and PZT voltage cause greater changes on surface characteristics. As shown in Fig. 5, significant



Fig. 10 Simplified model of vibration-aided micro-forging.

decrease in surface roughness and increase in hardness occurred after applying vibration. To elucidate the impact of static force and PZT voltage on surface roughness and hardness, the forming energy W under different conditions were calculated. The simplified model of the vibration-aided micro-forging is shown in Fig. 10. The forming energy W can be written as eq. (1). To locate the weight of dynamic energy W_d and static energy W_s on W, f is introduced as scale factor.

$$W = W_{d} + W_{s}$$

= $F_{d} \cdot d + f \cdot F_{s} \cdot d$
= $F_{d} \cdot (F_{d}/k) + f \cdot F_{s} \cdot (F_{s}/k)$
= $F_{d}^{2}/k + f \cdot F_{s}^{2}/k$ (1)

where

$$F_{\rm d} = F_{\rm d0} - F_{\rm s} \tag{2}$$

$$F_{\rm s} = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t F(t) \,\mathrm{d}t \tag{3}$$

Where k is coefficient of elasticity of the punch; F(t) is relationship between time and load; F_{d0} is average force of up-half period of F(t).



Fig. 11 Relationship between $F_d^2 + f \cdot F_s^2$ and (a) surface roughness variation; (b) hardness variation.

Different W and different surface characteristics variation can be derived under different experimental conditions. The surface roughness variation (R_r) should be linear to $F_{d}^{2} + f \cdot F_{s}^{2}$, so there must be a certain f value which makes Y(f) minimum. Y(f) is difference between experimental data and linear fit which can be written as eq. (4). By applying different f values, it is found that when f equals to 0.2, Y(f)is the minimum, which means $F_d^2 + f \cdot F_s^2$ coincides with surface roughness variation (R_r) the best. Applying f equaling to 0.2, we can get the relationship between surface characteristics variation and W as shown in Fig. 11. When f equals to 0.2, hardness variation (H_r) is also linear to $F_{\rm d}^2 + f \cdot F_{\rm s}^2$. This suggests that the weight of dynamic force on deformation is much higher than static force, and the contribution ratio of dynamic energy and static energy in deformation is approximate 5:1. We can conclude that, the effect of PZT voltage on reducing surface roughness and increasing hardness is more significant than that of static force. R_r and H_r can be expressed as eqs. (5) and (6).

$$Y(f) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=0}^{5} [g(f, a_i) - h(a_i)]^2}$$
(4)

Where $h(a_i)$ is experimental data, and $g(f, a_i)$ is linear fit of $h(a_i)$.

$$R_{\rm r} = \frac{R_{\rm before} - R}{R_{\rm before}} \times 100\% \tag{5}$$

$$H_{\rm r} = \frac{H - H_{\rm before}}{H_{\rm before}} \times 100\% \tag{6}$$

Where R_{before} and R is surface roughness before and after micro-forging. H_{before} and H are hardness values before and after micro-forging.

During the deformation of metal foils, the dislocations were assumed to be obstructed. In vibration-aided microforging, the forming energy W is thought to be preferentially absorbed by dislocations, and the energy increases their ability to surmount obstacles so as to make the metallic foils deform more. When the energy is larger, it will be easier for the dislocations to overcome obstacles and the foils deform more thoroughly. This may be the actual cause of why vibration-aided micro-forging can reduce surface roughness and increase hardness under a comparative low stress.

What should be noted is that although the vibration-aided micro-forging is effective in improving phosphor bronzer foils surface asperities, it seems to be less effective on titanium foils (Figs. 8 and 9). This may attribute to the fact that titanium has a dense-hexagonal structure (HCP), which has very few slip systems and is hard for dislocations slip and the equipment used in this study can not provide enough energy to drive dislocations.

There are still some cases needed further investigations as shown in the followings:

- (1) Investigation on why the contribution ratio of the dynamic energy W_d and the static energy W_s is 5 : 1.
- (2) Consideration of further effective parameters including heat effects and effects induced by microstructure modification.

5. Conclusions

Vibration-aided micro-forging under different experimental conditions were performed on phosphor bronzer foils and titanium foils. The vibration-aided micro-forging was found an effective process to improve surface characteristics. In particular, the surface roughness and hardness difference was studied and very pronounced decrease and increase were found in surface roughness and hardness. However, microforging without vibration did not lead to a significant difference in surface roughness and hardness. Based on the results, contribution ratio of dynamic energy and static energy in deformation is approximate 5 : 1, and the effect of PZT voltage on surface deformation is more significant than that of static force.

REFERENCES

- J. Jeswiet, M. Geiger, U. Engel, M. Kleiner, M. Schikorra, J. Duflou, R. Neugebauer, P. Bariani and S. Bruschi: CIRP J. Manuf. Sci. Technol. 1 (2008) 2–17.
- 2) T. Masuzawa: CIRP Annal. 49 (2000) 473-488.
- H. V. Brussel, J. Peirs, D. Reynaerts, A. Delchambre, G. Reinhart, N. Roth, M. Weck and E. Zussman: CIRP Annal. 49 (2000) 451–472.
- U. Engel and R. Eckstein: J. Mater. Sci. Technol. 125–126 (2002) 35–44.
 F. Vollertsen, Z. Hu, H. S. Niehoff and C. Theiler: J. Mater. Sci. Technol. 151 (2004) 70–79.
- J. Xu, C. J. Wang, B. Guo, D. B. Shan, Y. Sugiyama and S. Ono: Trans. Nonferrous Met. Soc. China 19 (2009) 526–530.
- S. Mahabunphachai, Ö. N. Cora and M. Koc: J. Power Sources 195 (2010) 5269–5277.
- 8) H. Ike: Wear 258 (2005) 1404–1410.
- S. Spinler, S. Schmidbauer and J. Klotzsche: Microelectron. Eng. 50 (2000) 311–319.